

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/583,275	Applicant(s) HONDA ET AL.	
	Examiner Kailash C. Srivastava	Art Unit 1657	

All Participants:

(1) Kailash C. Srivastava, Examiner.

(2) Mr. Marc S. Weiner, Applicants' Representative .

Date of Interview: 2 August 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: Claims Amended on 07/02/2007, already of record.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

6-8

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kailash C Srivastava/
Examiner, Art Unit 1657

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested clarification from the Representative re the dependency for Claims 7-8 since in the amendment filed 07/02/2007, Claim 6 has been cancelled and Claims 7-8 depend from the cancelled claim. The representative requested that the Examiner treat Claims 7-8 as if they depended from Claim 1. Examiner will mention this ambiguity for Claims 7-8.